NEARSHORE PROCESSES

Brad Johnson
Spicer Bak
Nick Cohn
Pat Dickhudt
Kate Brodie

District PDT Members

Jason Engle, Kevin Hodgins

COASTAL INLETS RESEARCH PROGRAM

FY21 IN PROGRESS REVIEW

Tiffany Burroughs
HQ Navigation Business Line Manager

Eddie Wiggins
Technical Director, Navigation

Morgan Johnston
Acting Associate Technical Director, Navigation

CIRP
Mission Support
Technology Transfer
Research & Development

US Army Corps of Engineers®

CHL COASTAL & HYDRAULICS LABORATORY

ERDC ENGINEER RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT CENTER

Problem Statement:

Coastal sediment transport remains poorly understood. No comprehensive and general predictive technology exists for rational design and planning of coastal planform evolution of time scales of relevance for USACE project design and implementation.

USACE missions this work benefits:

- Beach Nourishment and Nearshore Placement
- Navigation and Safety
- Flood Risk Management & Coastal Hazards

- CERB and CWG initiative
- 2020-1536/1538 Optical Current Measurements; Nearshore Processes Research and Development (N,F,E)
- 2019-N-05 Strategic Nearshore Placement of Dredged Material to Sustain Coastal Beach Dune Resilience

Capability and Strategic Impact Statement

GOAL: A general transport model that predicts from storm scale to longer term evolution, appropriate from DOC to dunes.

- **Nearshore placement:** Sound practice leaves high quality dredged material in the littoral zone, nearshore placement may be more economical, no model adequately predicts morphology change of placement

- **Storm protection:** Dunes are routinely built for storm protection. Design is based on inertia or on models that are inappropriate



Measured Data to Advance Predictive Technology

- To develop reliable predictive numerical modeling technology with skill and generality.
- A coherent view of the relevant physics must first emerge from observations. First principles model is not realistic.
- A practical numerical model is dependent on high-quality data for comparison and justification of empirical devices.
- FRF data provides new model/data comparisons for waves, currents, morpho change
- Now using high-quality data from Agate Beach, OR
- Still dependent on laboratory for detailed sediment data

Modeling Longshore Currents

- Data provides a justification for the simplifications
- Bottom shear stress is comprised of surface shear and wave stress
- Wave stress a cosh squared profile
- Depth-invariant eddy viscosity
- Expression is ‘nearly’ quadratic

$$V = V_b + \frac{\tau_b}{\rho \nu_t} z' - \frac{D_B \sin \alpha}{\rho \nu_t c \left(\sinh 2kh + 2kh \right)} \left(k z'^2 + \frac{\cosh 2kz' - 1}{2k} \right)$$

Modeling Cross Shore Currents

- The exact same approach used in LS is not possible in cross-shore (owing to the pressure part of the wave stress)
- If we assume that wave stresses(?) and eddy viscosity(ok) are depth-invariant, then appropriately described by quadratic in the vertical
- 3 conditions, stress on bottom, stress on top, Mass flux:

$$U = \left(\frac{Q_x}{h} - \frac{\tau_{bx} h}{2\rho\nu_t} - \frac{\tau_{sx} - \tau_{bx} h}{6\rho\nu_t} \right) + \left(\frac{\tau_{bx}}{\rho\nu_t} \right) z' + \left(\frac{\tau_{sx} - \tau_{bx}}{2h\rho\nu_t} \right) z'^2$$

Modeling Nearshore Currents



Modeling Nearshore Currents





Modeling Suspended Sediment

- Depth-dependent currents provides the opportunity to move towards process-based models
- Requires depth-dependent sediment profiles.

$$c = c_0 e^{-k_s z} \quad ; \quad k_s = \frac{w_0}{\nu_s}$$

- Tantamount to fall velocity matched by upward gradient diffusion
- Near-bed concentrations are related to turbulent dissipation (a modified CSHORE method)
- Simple analytic expressions permit the corrected transport at no computational expense

$$\int_0^h cU\,dz = \frac{c_0 A_0}{k_s}\left(1 - e^{-k_s h}\right) + \frac{c_0 A_1}{k_s^2}\left(1 - e^{-k_s h}(k_s h + 1)\right) + \frac{c_0 A_2}{k_s^3}\left(e^{-k_s h}\left(-k_s h(k_s h + 2) - 2\right) + 2\right)$$

FRF Data and Domain





FRF Nearshore Waves



FRF Nearshore Morphology Change



Summary

FY21 Major Advances in Capability

- Some major bug fixes in both CMS/C2SHORE
- New depth-dependence is advance towards reality.
- Comprehensive comparison of FRF morphology change with models
- Encouraging coastal morphology change predictions

FY21 Major Products & Collaborations

- New CMS code (several branches in GIT) with C2SHORE settling in to stable version
- Two CIRP TD, CWG presentation, Coastal Dynamics Talk/JP
- WHOI, U Delaware, Scripps
- Leveraging with CODS, F&C

FY22 Products/Advances

- Further CSHORE Family model development.
- Integration of swash domain into surf domain, including morphology